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## PARCC

| Level | Range |
| :--- | :---: |
| Level 1 | $650-699$ |
| Level 2 | $700-724$ |
| Level 3 | $725-749$ |
| Level 4 | $750-$ |
| Level 5 | -850 |

## PARCC

## ELA SAMPLE STUDENT REPORT

How Did FIRSTNAME Perform Overall?

## Performance Level 3

Level 5 Exceeded Expectations Level 4 Met Expectations
Level 3 Approached Expectations
Level 2 Partially Met Expectations
Level 1 Did Not Yet Meet Expectations



| 650 | 700 | 725 | 750 | 794 | 850 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

How Students in Maryland Performed


Percentage of students at each performance level

## PARCC

## READING

Your child's score


School Average


## WRITING

Your child's score
10 Met expectations $\quad 60$

School Average

District Average
State Average 32

## PARCC

## ELA SAMPLE STUDENT REPORT

## 4

## LITERARY TEXT

Your child performed about the same as students who met or exceeded expectations. Students meet expectations by showing they can read and analyze fiction, drama, and poetry.

## INFORMATIONAL TEXT

Your child performed about the same as students who did not yet meet or partially met expectations. Students meet expectations by showing they can read and analyze nonfiction, history, science, and the arts.

## VOCABULARY

Your child performed about the same as students who met or exceeded expectations. Students meet expectations by showing they can use context to determine what words and phrases mean.

## WRITING EXPRESSION

Your child performed about the same as students who approached expectations. Students meet expectations by showing they can compose well-developed writing, using details from what they have read.

## KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF LANGUAGE CONVENTIONS

Your child performed about the same as students who approached expectations. Students meet expectations by showing they can compose writing using rules of standard English.

## LEGEND

Your child performed about the same as students who:


Met or Exceeded
Expectations

Approached
Expectations

Did Not Yet Meet or Partially Met Expectations

## PARCC

How Did FIRSTNAME Perform Overall?

## Performance Level 3

Level 5 Exceeded Expectations Level 4 Met Expectations
Level 3 Approached Expectations
Level 2 Partially Met Expectations
Level 1 Did Not Yet Meet Expectations



How Students in Maryland Performed

## PARCC

## MAJOR CONTENT

Your child performed about the same as students who did not yet meet or partially met expectations. Students meet expectations by solving problems involving arithmetic operations on polynomials, linear, quadratic, and exponential equations, an understanding of functions, and interpreting algebraic expressions, functions, and linear models.

## ADDITIONAL \& SUPPORTING CONTENT

Your child performed about the same as students who met or exceeded expectations. Students meet expectations by solving problems involving properties of rational and irrational numbers, writing algebraic expressions in equivalent forms, systems of equations, interpreting data, and linear, quadratic, and exponential models.

## EXPRESSING MATHEMATICAL REASONING

Your child performed about the same as students who approached expectations. Students meet expectations by creating and justifying logical mathematical solutions and analyzing and correcting the reasoning of others.

## MODELING \& APPLICATION

Your child performed about the same as students who did not yet meet or partially met expectations.
Students meet expectations by solving real-world problems, representing and solving problems with symbols, reasoning quantitatively, and strategically using appropriate tools.

| LEGEND |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Your child performed about the same as students who: |  |
| Met or Exceeded <br> Expectations | Approached <br> Expectations |
| Did Not Yet Meet <br> or Partially <br> Met Expectations |  |

## PARCC MATH

## PARCC

2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## Algebra 1 Results



## PARCC

2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

Geometry Results 58.9


## PARCC

2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

Algebra 2 Results


- \%RIH>=4

0. \%NJ>=4
.0. \%RHS >=4
O. \%HHS >=4

## PARCC

Students with Disabilities Subgroups - Mathematics


## PARCC

## Ethnicity / Race Subgroups - Mathematics



## Algebra 1 Average Score



## Geometry Average Score



## PARCC

2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## Algebra 2 Average Score



## 2018 SPRING PARCC

 TEST SCORE ANALYSIS
## PARCC ELA

## PARCC

2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## English 9 Results



## PARCC

2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## English 10 Results



## PARCC

2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## English 11 Results



## PARCC

## Students with Disabilities Subgroups - English



## PARCC

Ethnicity / Race Subgoups - English


## PARCC

## 2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## English 9



## PARCC

## 2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## English 10



## PARCC

## 2018 SPRING PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## English 11



Analyze scores from the 2018 Spring Administration.

Which standards did our students meet or exceed? Where is additional help needed?

What types of problems or questions did students do well on? Where is additional help needed?

What additional resources can be used?

Increase familiarity with structure, question type, problems, and format.

What professional development is needed?

PARCC

## PARCC

2017 FALL PARCC TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

## Algebra 1 PARCC

| Percentage Earning a 4 or 5 | $51 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Increased Scores | $88 \%$ |
| Range of Increase | +2 to +50 Points |
| Average Increase | +17.3 Points |

NJ SLA - Science
Dynamic Learning Maps Access for ELLs 2.0

|  | Participation Rate |  |  |  | Academic Achievement (PARCC/DLM) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students <br> Enrolled <br> \# | Non-tested Rate \% | Time In <br> School< Yr <br> Enrolled <br> \# | Met (95\%) <br> Standard | Denominator <br> (At least 95\% of full-year enrollment ) | Proficient <br> ( Full-Year students only) <br> \% | Annual <br> Target <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Met } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | English Language Arts / Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schoolwide | 1,090 | 25.5 | 40 | N | 997.5 | 53.1 | 30.7 | Y |
| White | 944 | 27.3 | 32 | N | 866.4 | 51.7 | 30.2 | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| Hispanic | 59 | 13.6 | 4 | N | 52.3 | 47.8 | 34.3 | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ |
| Black or African American |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Asian | 58 | 17.2 | 2 | N | 53.2 | 65.8 | 42.5 | Y |
| American Indian |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Two or More Races | 20 | 5.0 | 0 | Y |  |  |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Students with Disabilities | 154 | 17.5 | 6 | N | 140.6 | 24.1 | 16.9 | Y |
| English Language Learners* |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
|  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schoolwide | 1,044 | 26.7 | 36 | N | 957.6 | 36.0 | 27.2 | Y |
| White | 910 | 28.6 | 31 | $N$ | 835.1 | 35.9 | 26.9 | Y |
| Hispanic | 58 | 15.5 | 3 | N | 52.3 | 21.0 | 28.1 | $\mathbf{Y}^{*}$ |
| Black or African American |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Asian | 48 | 18.8 | 0 | N | 45.6 | 52.7 | 37.3 | Y |
| American Indian |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Two or More Races | 20 | 5.0 | 0 | Y |  |  |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 21 | 19.0 | 1 | N |  |  |  | - |
| Students with Disabilities | 140 | 20.0 | 4 | N | 129.2 | 10.8 | 8.6 | Y |
| English Language Learners* |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |

Next Steps
ESSA Accountability Profiles Changes from the State

## SAT \& ACT

## Results

College Readiness Benchmarks

## SAT

## SAT Math



## SAT

## SAT Critical Reading / Reading and Writing



## SAT

## College Readiness Benchmarks

| 2015-16 | Benchmark | Ramapo | Indian Hills | NJ State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and <br> Writing | $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ |
| Math | 530 | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ |
| 2016-17 | Benchmark | Ramapo | Indian Hills | NJ State |
| Reading and <br> Writing | $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ |
| Math | 530 | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |

## ACT

| Year | Indian Hills <br> \# of Students | Ramapo <br> \# of Students | NJ State <br> \# of Students | Nationwide <br> \# of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 147 | 155 | 19,177 | $1,568,835$ |
| 2011 | 158 | 204 | 20,796 | $1,623,112$ |
| 2012 | 169 | 170 | 22,179 | $1,666,017$ |
| 2013 | 189 | 200 | 24,202 | $1,799,243$ |
| 2014 | 174 | 201 | 26,182 | $1,845,787$ |
| 2015 | 163 | 195 | 30,263 | $1,924,436$ |
| 2016 | 215 | 161 | 33,646 | $2,090,342$ |
| 2017 | 201 | 255 | 35,257 | $2,030,038$ |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |

## ACT

## Percentage Meeting Benchmark

|  | English |  |  | Mathematics |  |  | Reading |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | IHHS | RHS | State | IHHS | RHS | State | IHHS | RHS | State | IHHS | RHS | State |
| 2014 | 87 | 87 | 77 | 72 | 79 | 64 | 59 | 70 | 57 | 56 | 62 | 50 |
| 2015 | 91 | 92 | 78 | 78 | 74 | 63 | 71 | 69 | 59 | 64 | 58 | 52 |
| 2016 | 87 | 84 | 81 | 72 | 74 | 67 | 65 | 72 | 59 | 56 | 60 | 50 |
| 2017 | 92 | 93 | 80 | 69 | 75 | 64 | 71 | 81 | 65 | 60 | 67 | 54 |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SAT \& ACT

## Participation

 Results
## A P

## Participation Rates

Dept/ Course RH

| Art |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Studio Art - 2D | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Studio Art - Drawing |  | $100 \%$ |
| English |  |  |
| Eng Lang / Comp | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Eng Lit / Comp | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Math |  |  |
| Calculus AB | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Calculus BC | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Computer Science A | $100 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Computer Science Principles | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Statistics | $91 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Music |  |  |
| Music Theory | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## AP

Dept/ Course
Science

| Biology | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Physics 1 | $97 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| Physics 2 | - | $94 \%$ |
| Physics C E \& M | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Physics C Mech | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Social Studies

| Macroeconomics | $83 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| European History | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Psychology | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| US History | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| World Language |  | $100 \%$ |
| French | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Italian | $88 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Spanish | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## AP

## Dept/ Course <br> District Avg <br> NJ Avg <br> US Avg

| Art |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Studio Art - 2D | 3.67 | 3.75 | 3.46 |
| Studio Art - Drawing | 4.50 | 3.80 | 3.62 |
| English |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang / Comp | 3.76 | 3.26 | 2.82 |
| Eng Lit / Comp | 2.96 | 2.81 | 2.56 |
| Math |  |  |  |
| Calculus AB | 2.96 | 3.23 | 2.91 |
| Calculus BC | 4.20 | 4.04 | 3.74 |
| Statistics | 3.76 | 3.19 | 2.85 |
| Comp Science A | 3.39 | 3.30 | 3.17 |
| Computer Science Principles |  | 3.34 | 3.04 |
| Music | 2.20 |  | 3.39 |
| Music Theory |  | 3.16 |  |

## A P

TEST SCORE ANALYSIS

Dept/ Course
Science

| Biology | 3.30 | 3.17 | 2.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chemistry | 2.40 | 3.13 | 2.75 |
| Physics 1 | 2.63 | 2.55 | 2.32 |
| Physics 2 | 3.50 | 3.04 | 2.90 |
| Physics C E \& M | 3.12 | 3.83 | 3.56 |
| Physics C Mech | 3.33 | 3.76 | 3.52 |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |
| Macroeconomics | 3.31 | 3.37 | 2.89 |
| European History | 3.69 | 3.32 | 2.89 |
| Psychology | 3.83 | 3.36 | 3.13 |
| US History |  | 3.11 | 2.66 |
| World Language | 2.67 |  |  |
| French | 3.13 | 3.33 | 3.24 |
| Italian | 3.95 | 3.78 | 3.07 |
| Spanish |  | 3.66 |  |

## A P

| Indian Hills High School | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total AP Students | 234 | 210 | 227 | 256 | 245 | 264 |
| \# of Exams | 454 | 479 | 465 | 546 | 464 | 497 |
| \% of AP Students with 3+ | $87.6 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ |


| Ramapo High School | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total AP Students | 286 | 278 | 264 | 263 | 337 | 300 |
| \# of Exams | 629 | 659 | 619 | 585 | 748 | 682 |
| $\%$ of AP Students with 3+ | $84.6 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |

## AP

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indian Hills High School | 3.70 | 3.43 | 3.45 | 3.57 | 3.67 |
| Ramapo High School | 3.35 | 3.30 | 3.26 | 3.17 | 3.09 |
| RIH District | 3.49 | 3.36 | 3.35 | 3.32 | 3.33 |
| New Jersey | 3.32 | 3.22 | 3.23 | 3.20 | 3.22 |
| United States | 2.87 | 2.82 | 2.85 | 2.84 | 2.87 |
| Global | 2.89 | 2.84 | 2.87 | 2.86 | 2.89 |
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